OPENING, CLOSING, SITING WORKING GROUP: MEETING 6

Off-Cycle Call June 19, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- Goals for today's meeting
 Guest Speaker: Brian Eschbacher, Denver Public Schools
 - Introduction
 - Questions about DPS
- Next Steps
- Appendix

GOALS FOR TODAY'S MEETING

Learn more about Denver's processes around facilities planning

> Determine next steps

GUEST SPEAKER INTRODUCTION

GUEST SPEAKER

- Brian Eschbacher, Executive Director of the Department of Planning & Analysis, Denver Public Schools
- The department supports DPS in the following areas:
 - Data Analysis for District Leadership
 - Strategic Planning of Long-term District Needs
 - Enrollment Support
- Charter schools contribute the same amount of funding as district schools to support the department's planning and enrollment services
- This office produces the Strategic Regional Analysis (SRA) each year



Discover a World of Opportunity"

QUESTIONS ABOUT DENVER PUBLIC SCHOOLS

- What is the master facilities planning process and how does the SRA inform it?
 - How does the SRA fit into the plan for facilities investments and modernizations?
- Who is engaged in the approval process, both for charter schools and district school changes?
 - How is the community engaged throughout that process?
- How successful have efforts been to turnaround schools that had previously been red-red under a different charter operator or district program?

WHAT IS A STRATEGIC REGIONAL ANALYSIS?

- A Strategic Regional Analysis (SRA) is an analysis of public education data that looks to identify regional and citywide needs to inform decisions about existing or future schools.
 - It examines the data and information on existing gaps and needs with an eye toward the overall goals for students and for public education in the city.
- The following slides categorize the types of data analysis conducted in the Denver and Oakland Strategic Regional Analyses.

SRA STRUCTURE

Denver

- Analysis based on 6 regional areas
- Included in the SRA:
 - Enrollment Forecasts
 - Student Demographics
 - Choice Participation & Access
 - School Performance
 - Programmatic Choice
 - Facility Utilization
- SRA "supports the Denver 2020 goal of having at least 80% of students attending School Performance Framework (SPF) green or blue schools in every region in the district"
- Examines gaps in: 1) Capacity; 2)
 Performance; 3) Match rates; 4) Pathways

Oakland

- Analysis based on 5 regions
- Included in the SRA:
 - Regions & Schools
 - Community Schools
 - Demographics & Enrollment
 - Attrition Transition
 - School Quality
 - School Choice
- Feeder Patterns
- Live/Go
- Teacher Retention
- Programs
- SRA supports Oakland's "goal to ensure [they] are good stewards of our schools and are expanding our portfolio of quality schools."
 - Equity and Access

TYPES OF DATA ANALYSIS

Current Demand Analysis:

Demand for public education today, irrespective of sector Future Demand Analysis: Change in enrollment over time

Transition Analysis:

Where students go when moving from elementary to middle to high school

Student Demographic Analysis:

The makeup of current student population and how they are being served Performance Analysis: Student access to quality schools based on common <u>accountability measures</u> Environmental Analysis: Other factors affecting schools and student access

Current & Future Supply Analysis:

The current number, location, and quality of seats (and the future need for seats) **Facility Analysis:**

The current state of school facilities

Live/Go Analysis: Where students live vs. where they attend school

WHAT QUESTIONS CAN IT HELP INFORM? FOR A GIVEN NEIGHBORHOOD:



Are the only good schools magnets?

Do we have too many empty seats?

Is there any transportation?

Are neighbors getting in through choice?

What residential

development is occurring?

HOW IS IT USED? SRA INCORPORATION INTO THE SCHOOL PLANNING PROCESS



HISTORICAL EXAMPLE OF HOW THE SRA SUPPORTED PORTFOLIO IMPROVEMENTS

Fall 2014 SRA	Jan 2015 Call for Quality Schools	June 2015 Approval Process	2016 Unified Choice	2016-17 Enrollment / Performance
 Highlighted the following: Less than 50% of middle seats are high performing Lowest choice match rates in the city Transportation limits access to choices 	 Announced the phase- out of two district-run middle schools Listed those two facilities as available for new programs to serve SW Denver population 	 Board of Education approved 5 new smaller middle schools to phase-in and replace the two large phase-out schools Among the 5 new schools are 2 district replications and 3 charter schools 	 Match rates increase from the lowest in the city to close 90%+ students receiving their first choice Despite a level of over- supply, most schools are in-line to meet enrollment projections, including all high- performing schools, both district and charter 	 August 2016: The 5 new schools open 6th grade 6th grade enrollment shows 90%+ of students are attending existing high-performing or replications of high- performing schools versus 50% in 2014
	PUBLIC	<section-header></section-header>	MS-a MS-a Choi neig Choi up 2 40% stud	mpact age enrollment ce-in from hboring districts ce match rates 0%-points -point increase in ents in orming/new schools

NEXT STEPS

APPENDIX

BIOGRAPHY: BRIAN ESCHBACHER

Brian Eschbacher is the Executive Director of Planning & Enrollment Services for Denver Public Schools (DPS). In this role, Brian leads two teams central to the success of helping every child succeed in the fastest growing urban district in the country. The Planning team helps manage the long-term growth of the school portfolio through school openings. closings, and boundary changes. The **Enrollment Services team operates the** nationally-recognized school choice systems, helping breakdown barriers for low-income students to attend any school anywhere in the city. Brian joined DPS in **2011** and completed the Broad Residency in 2013.



		DCPS	PCS	Students, Families, Communities			
	Shared	No transparency of information from each sector on how they decide to open, close, or locate schools					
	Problems	Little to no advance notice so other sector can plan when other sector opens, closes, or locates. Figuring out how to scale up models that are working for students. 					
	Hopes	 Continue to improve underperforming or under-enrolled and continue creating unique programming that appeals to families Provide quality by-right options to students 	 High-quality facilities in locations that make sense for the program Charters offer students a variety of high- quality educational models that align with the desires of communities and families 	 High-quality schools located throughout the city available to all families Available programming that best meets the need of their children 			
	•	Grow enrollment	 Maintain core mission of serving students citywide 	 An understanding of why schools open or close 			
		 Responsive to demand (the need for seats in a given area) 	 High-performing schools with waitlists want to be able to grow 				
	Fears	 Loss of by-right neighborhood schools: cannot be forced to close schools Unchecked proliferation of charters 	 Loss of autonomy: central authority cannot tell charters where to locate or not locate Restrictions on growth: cannot set caps on 	 Limited high-quality school options that are not accessible to all Inefficient use of public 			
		undermines DCPS enrollment	opening more schools	resources			
		 Lack of coordination or forethought from PCSB leads to charters opening in areas that threaten and undermine DCPS neighborhood schools 	 Can't access vacant DCPS facilities 	 Closing neighborhood schools devastates communities more so than closing citywide schools 			
	Perspective of Problem	 Need to keep vacant DCPS facilities for building modernization efforts (swing space) and in order to serve anticipated in boundary students 	 Forced to secure facilities in the private market, which are sometimes not well- suited for school use 	 Slowly draining schools hurts students in those schools Need authentic community engagement process for 			
		•	 DCPS won't or can't close underperforming or under-enrolled schools 	 opening schools Lack of guaranteed access to new citywide schools when they are in close proximity to students' homes 			

PCS perspective of the

problem

DCPS perspective of the problem

Lack of shared information presented at a <u>neighborhood level about our current and</u> potentially future public education system, population and demographics, and <u>neighborhood characteristics.</u>

• No transparency of information from each sector on how they decide to open, close, or locate schools

• Little to no advance notice so other sector can plan when other sector opens, closes, or locates.

• Lack of meaningful community engagement and input into the planning process

Public/community perspective of the problem