# DC CROSS-SECTOR COLLABORATION TASK FORCE

9.27.16 Meeting 7

#### THANK YOU FOR YOUR FEEDBACK!

#### This is what we heard from you:

- Concern about the pace of our progress
- Eager to get into the more substantive policy discussions
- Want more straw man proposals to react to
- Members should be more direct about what they think and the policies they want the Task Force to consider
- Leverage opportunities in between meetings

#### GOALS FOR TODAY'S MEETING

- Discuss and refine policy options
- Discuss goals and outcomes for community engagement in November

#### AGENDA

- Welcome (6-6:05)
- Break Out Groups (6:05-7:05)
  - Policy Proposals
  - Community Engagement Goals
- Report Out & Large Group Discussion (7:05-7:45)
- Committee Member Updates (7:45-7:55)
- Looking Ahead & Next Steps (7:55-8)

#### TASK FORCE GOALS

- Improve the experience of parents and families understanding and navigating their public school options.
- Develop methods for information sharing with the public and across public school sectors.
- Develop a framework for coordinating processes on school openings, closings, and facilities planning.
- Promote enrollment stability.
- Identify educational challenges that need to be addressed through cross-sector collaboration.

#### GROUP NORMS AND EXPECTATIONS

#### We want members to:

- Act as public ambassadors for the process
- Advocate for what is best for all students and families and not just what is best for one particular school community or sector
- Put individual agendas aside in the interest of improving public education for the city
- Be open-minded
  - Genuinely consider alternatives to their own opinions
  - Respect each others' opinion
  - Generate and consider creative solutions

#### PURPOSE OF OUR WORK

Twenty years ago public charter school choice was established in DC. With 56% of public school students attending DCPS and 44% attending public charter schools, the next chapter of improving education in DC is for both sectors to strategically work together.

#### We come together now to:

- Objectively consider data to better understand our educational landscape across the City
- Brainstorm ideas and generate solutions through cross-sector collaboration and problem-solving
- Consider our current challenges for what they are citywide challenges - and not side with or assign blame to a single sector
- Develop clear and fair recommendations on how to reach our CSCTF goals (our charge)

# TO REACH A PORT WE MUST SET SAIL-SAIL, NOT TIE AT ANCHOR SAIL, NOT DRIFT.

- FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT

## **BREAK OUT GROUPS**

#### ORIGINAL OPTIONS

#### **Intake Policies**

- Establish intake schools to take most/all students entering the District mid-year
- Placement of mid-year entries from out-of-state in PCS
- Establish common intake practices and/or procedures across LEAs to improve experience for students

- **Transfer Policies**
- Citywide safety transfer policy
- Placement process for PCS expulsions and DYRS students
- Long-term suspension center

**Exit Policies** 

- Enact windows for student movement across DC schools to give families specific times of year to make changes
- Exit counseling and transition support

- **By-Right Charter**
- Allow charter schools to become by-right schools with certain parameters

Eliminate post-Kindergarten Cutoffs

 Eliminate post-Kindergarten age cutoffs so as not to limit the options available to at-risk students in their choice of school

#### PROPOSALS TO DISCUSS TODAY

# By-Right Charter School

- Strengthen school/community relationships
- Alleviate the disproportionate impact of mobility on DCPS

# Transfer and Exit Policies

- Ensure students who enter mid-year are set up for success
- Equitable access to public schools by vulnerable populations
- Reduce burden on DCPS taking mid-year PCS students

#### PATH TO MOBILITY RECOMMENDATIONS

Identify policy options

Determine which policies to propose for community input

Get broad community feedback

Develop recommendation for the Mayor

# GOALS FOR COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROCESS

- Policies are just proposals not final
- Community feedback will:
  - —Provide broader perspective on options
  - —Help the Task Force decide which policies to move forward as recommendations to the Mayor
  - —Help identify what additional information is needed to better understand the proposal(s)
  - —Ensure process is transparent and open

#### COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROCESS

#### **Timeline**

November before Thanksgiving break

#### **Format/Structure**

- Three citywide meetings
- Partner with parent and stakeholder groups to identify structure and outreach
  - —Ward-based education groups
  - —DC School Reform Now
  - —LEAs
- Overview presentation
  - —Frame challenge (data overview)
  - —What are the proposals and how would they work
- Facilitated table discussions

#### BREAK OUT GROUP DISCUSSION

Goal: Develop policy option(s) for presentation to community in November

#### **Policy Proposal Questions**

- What is the proposed policy and how would it work?
- What are the intended outcomes of this proposal?
- What are the strengths of this proposal?
- What are implementation challenges or considerations?

#### **Community Engagement Questions**

- What questions would you want to ask the community about this proposed policy? What community feedback would be helpful?
- Are these the right community engagement goals?
- What do you think about the community engagement straw man?
- Are there other questions (not relate to mobility) that you would want to ask the community about?

## LARGE GROUP DISCUSSION

#### REPORT OUT

- Each group shares out a summary of their break out group discussion
- Other members provide feedback, pose questions, and weigh-in

# COMMITTEE MEMBER UPDATES

#### COMMITTEE MEMBER/STAFF UPDATES

#### Thank you!

- Kaya Henderson
- Rod Boggs
- Lars Beck

#### Welcome!

- John Davis, Interim DCPS Chancellor
- Carlie Fisherow, DC Scholars PCS

#### **DME** staff transition

## **LOOKING AHEAD**

#### OCTOBER RETREAT

- Half-day (4-5 hours) retreat facilitated by EducationCounsel staff members.
  - —Weekday morning or evening options
- Interactive, action-oriented retreat with the purpose of:
  - —Identifying new areas to focus on during the coming months
  - -Reflecting on our work to-date
  - —Working through transitions on the Task Force's membership
  - —Getting to know one another better

#### **NEXT STEPS**

- Meet with your break out group to further refine your policy option
  - DME will coordinate, facilitate, and note take
- DME will schedule retreat
- Reminder: Review and comment on OSSE's proposed residency regulations

#### **October Meeting Preview**

- October 25th, 2016, Department of For-Hire Vehicles (previously DC Taxicab Commission)
- —Finalize policy options to take to community

## **APPENDIX**

### MID-YEAR MOBILITY: SUMMARY OF WHAT WE KNOW (1)

- Broad findings about mobility in DC
  - DC has modest mobility; only 8% of students are mobile mid-year
  - Of all mid-year mobile students, 75% move in/out of state
  - DCPS has twice the amount of mobility than PCS
  - As churn increases, performance decreases
  - Entry has greater negative impact than exit
  - High churn schools have lower median student performance
  - In/out of state mobility is significant in all four categories
  - Within and across LEA mobility accounts for nearly half of all mobility for low entry/high exit (category 2) and high churn schools (category 3)
  - Entry and exit codes can tell us little about why students are mobile
- Within LEA mobility
  - DCPS has most of the within LEA mobility and happens across all grades
  - Ward 8 has the highest share of within DCPS mobility

### MID-YEAR MOBILITY: SUMMARY OF WHAT WE KNOW (2)

- Sector differences
  - DCPS enrolls the majority of all entries including across LEA exits from PCS
  - Nearly all across LEA exits are from PCS
- High churn schools
  - High churn rate ranges from 10% to 37%
  - 32% of all public schools students in DC attend high churn schools
  - High churn schools experience more mid-year entries than exits
  - High churn schools have larger shares of at risk students
- Disproportionate impact on wards
  - High churn schools are mostly located in Wards 7 and 8
  - Nearly all DCPS schools east of the river are high churn
  - Two thirds of public charter schools east of the river are low entry/high exit
- Disproportionate impact on high schools
  - DCPS comprehensive high schools are disproportionately affected by across
     LEA mobility and have higher mobility than any other type of school